

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,847	09/06/2000	Yasuhiro Ishii	1560-0348P	9788
7590 12/03/2003 Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
			JOYCE, W	JOYCE, WILLIAM C
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		3				
60	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/655,847	ISHII ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Joyce	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortent (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered b raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly				
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7 and 9.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5 and 6.						
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ ap	oproved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	··				
10.□ Other:		WILLIAM C. JOYCE PRIMARY EXAMINER				





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations of claims 10-11 require further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art teaches each and every limitation defined by claims 7 and 9, including the biasing means for biasing the worm shaft towards the worm wheel.